1	2	2	4	5	6
9.	जम्मू व कश्मीर . . .	5	शून्य (ए)	706	58
10.	कर्नाटक	×	×	2970	670
11.	केरल	×	×	909	201
12.	मध्य प्रदेश	605	372	9134	726
13.	महाराष्ट्र	×	×	3301	2790
14.	मणिपुर	60	33	729	20
15.	मेघालय	69	14	558	216
16.	मिजोरम	50	18	763	53
17.	नागालैंड	शून्य	शून्य	200	
18.	उड़ीसा	200	44	1079	425
19.	पंजाब	×	×	320	150
20.	राजस्थान	689	455	5804	1828
21.	सिक्किम	×	×	320	150
22.	तमिलनाडु	×	×	2500	1430
23.	त्रिपुरा	200	83	476	245
24.	उत्तर प्रदेश	947	319	4168	448
25.	पश्चिमी बंगाल . . .	435	117	1774	411
	जोड़ . .	3669*	1507*	47426	13750

*राज्य योजना, के भी अंतर्गत उपलब्ध समेत ।

× शत प्रतिशत विद्युतीकृत ।

(ए)—1-4-93 से 30-11-93

(सी)—1-4-93 से 31-8-93

Proposals in Power Sector

4529. SHRI CHIMANBHAI HARIBHAI SHUKLA : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) number of proposals approved recently by the Union Government in Power Sector ;

(b) the details thereof ;

(c) the total investments to be made in that sector ;

(d) whether the Sarad Pawar Committee has submitted its report to the Government ; and

(e) if so, what is the main features of the committee ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU) : (a) to (c) The details of the power generation projects approved by the CEA & the Planning Commission during the year 1993-94 are given below :

(Rs. Crs.)

Sl. No.	Name of the Project/State	Capacity	Estimated Cost
1.	Guru Nanak Dev TPP Unit-5 & 6 (Punjab) . .	2×210 MW	822.37
2.	Shahpur Kandi Project (Punjab)	168 MW	895.08
3.	Bakreshwar TPP (West Bengal) . . .	5×210 MW	3052.53
4.	D.G. Power Station at Brahampuram (Kerala) .	5×20 MW	281.00
5.	Rayalseema (Muddanur) TPS Stage-II (Andhra Pradesh).	2×210 MW	1273.00

1	2	3	4
6.	Purulia PSS (West Bengal)	900 MW	1456.56
7.	Kothagudam TPS St. V (Andhra Pradesh) . .	2×250 MW	1890.00
8.	Ramgarh Gas Based Power Project (Rajasthan) .	1×35.5 MW	120.83
9.	Kopili Extn., NEEPCO (Assam)	2×50 MW	101.97
10.	Tehri HPP, THDC (UP)	4×250 MW	3334.50
11.	Singur HEP	2×7.5 MW	24.45

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

Plant Load Factor of Thermal Power Stations in Uttar Pradesh

4530. DR. NAUNIHAL SINGH : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that plant load factor of Thermal Power Stations in Uttar Pradesh is very low ; if so, the reasons therefor ;

(b) what is the percentage of plant load factor together with the reasons for in that State ; and

(c) what are the details of steps Government have taken to rectify low Plant Load Factor problem in Uttar Pradesh ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU) : (a) and (b) During the year 1993-94, the Plant Load Factor of thermal power stations of UPSEB was 50.1% as against the target of 52.8%. The main reasons for low PLF of UPSEB plants are fund constraints, high forced outage rate and poor performance of some very old units due to aging factor etc.

(c) Various measures being taken for optimum utilisation of Installed Capacity in Uttar Pradesh include Renovation and Modernisation of old units, assisting the Electricity Board in undertaking Plant Betterment Programmes, supply of requisite quantity and quality of coal, training of operation and maintenance personnel and strengthening of the T&D system.

News item regarding Bonanza to an American Company

4531. SHRI O. P. KOHLI : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item published in the Indian Express dated 9th March, 1994, under the caption "Salve's Bonanza for American Cartel"; and

(b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The imputation that the Minister of Power gave away a Bonanza to the American Company is totally baseless. A strong contradiction has already been sent by the Ministry to the editor of the newspaper concerned.

Agreement between ENRON and MSEB

4532. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN :
SHRI VIREN J. SHAH :

Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) whether Enron has entered into a Power Project Agreement (PPA) with Maharashtra State Electricity Board ;

(b) if so, what are the details of the agreement ;

(c) whether the agreement is a complete departure from the norms set out by the Centre in the two part tariff notification ; if so, what are the reasons therefor ;

(d) whether the project is woven around a fixed cost principle based on which the final tariff rate has been worked out ; and

(e) if so, what are the details and what is the capital cost of these projects ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU) : (a) Yes, Sir.